I'm not robot

reCAPTCHA

Continue

I'm not robot

reCAPTCHA

And change nation vocabulary answers

word size, TEXT COVERAGE AND WORD LISTSPaul Nation and Robert Waring In Schmitt, N. and M. McCarthy (Eds.): Word: Description, Acquisition and Pedagogy (p. 6-19). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. Version: September 1997 How much vocabulty does a second language learner need? There are three way to answer this question. One way is to ask how many words are there in the target language? Another way is how many words do mother tongue speakers know? it's asking. A third way is how many words does it take to do the things a language user should do? it's asking. We'll look at the answers to each of these questions. This discussion only looks at the word and if a student has enough words then everything should be assumed to be easy. Vocabul, reading and speaking are just one component of language skills. It should also be assumed that vocability is always a prerequisite for the performance of language skills. Vocabul-word language usage, language use allows increased vocabulation, world knowledge allows increased vocabulation and language use (Nation, 1993b). With these caveats in mind, let's look at the importance of word size predictions and second language learners now. How many words are there in English? The easiest way to answer this question is to look at the number of words in the largest dictionary. This often bothers dictionary makers. They see the vocabuly of language as an ever-changing entity, with new words and new uses of old words and old words falling unusable. They also see problems in deciding whether walking as a name is the same word as walking as a verb, compound items such as goose grass will count as separate words, and names such as Vegemite, Agnes and Nottingham will be counted as words. These are all real problems, but they can be dealt with systematically reliably. Two separate studies (Dupuy, 1974; Goulden looked at the dictionary of Webster's Third International Dictionary (1963), the largest non-historical dictionary in English, when Nation and Read, 1990) was published. Compound words, background words, abbreviations, appropriate names, alternative And dialect forms are excluded and words are classified as a basic word, families of words consisting of gravitational forms and transparent derivatives, Webster's 3 has a family word of about 54,000 words. This is a learning goal that is out of the reach of second language learners and, as we will see, mother tongue speakers. How many words do the mother tongue speakers know? For more than 100 years, reports have been published of systematic attempts to measure the size of the vocabulal of those whose mother tongue is English. There have been various motivations for such studies but most of them lie behind the idea that the word size is a reflection of how educated, intelligent, or a good person read. A big word size is seen as something valuable. Unfortunately the measurement of word size should count as a word?, How can we draw a word sample from the dictionary to do a word test?, How can we test whether a word is known or not? has been shaken by serious methodological problems such as. Failure to adequately deal with these questions has led to various studies on word size, which has had very misleading consequences. See Nation (1993a), Lorge and Chall (1963) and Thorndike (1924) to discuss these issues. English teachers as a second language may be interested in the word size of their mother tongue English, as they can be an indicator of the scale of the learning task facing second language learners, especially those who want to work and study with english-language english students in English middle schools, universities or workplaces. The most conservative rule of thumb we have now is that up to a word size of about 20,000 words, we should expect mother tongue speakers to add about 1,000 words of family per year to their word size. This means that a five-year-old starter school will be a word of about 4000 to 5000 words families. A university graduate would have a vocabulary of about 20,000-word families (Goulden, Nation and Read, 1990). These figures are very rough and are likely to be a very large variation between individuals. These shapes exclude appropriate names, compound words, abbreviations, and foreign words. A word family is taken to include a base word, its gravitated forms, and a small number of reasonably regular derived forms (Bauer and nation, 1993). Some researchers recommend that word sizes be greater than these (Nagy, see this volume), but in well-done studies (e.g. D'Anna, Zechmeister nad Hall, 1991) differences are mainly the result of differences in which the number of elements is included and how a word is defined in the family. A small study of the word development of non-native English languages in an English secondary school (Jamieson, 1976) found that in such a case, non-native the word grows at the same rate as the mother tongue, but the first space that exists between them is not closed. For adult learners of English as a foreign language, the gap between their word size and those who speak their mother tongue is often very large, although many adult foreign learners in English have a word size of a family of 5000 words, although they have studied English for several years. A large number of second language learners reach word sizes similar to those of educated mother tongue speakers, but they are not the norm. However, there is some encouraging news. A study conducted by Milton and Meara (1995) using the Eurocentres Word Size Test (Meara and Jones, 1988, 1990) shows that if this learning is done in a second language environment, there could be significant word growth. In a study abroad program of 53 European advanced qualification students, the average word per capita approached a speed of 2,500 words per year for six months of the program. This growth rate is similar to larger estimates of initial language growth in adolescence. Although the goal of the mother tongue word size is a possible goal, English as a foreign language is a very ambitious one for most learners. How many words does it take to do the things a language user should do? Even if the language used a large number of words, all of these words are equally useful. One measure of usefulness is the frequency of words, that is, how often the word occurs in normal use of language. From a frequency point of view, the word is a very useful word in English. It is so common that english written on a page and about 7% of the words in a conversation are word repe another. Look back on this paragraph and you will find an event in almost every line. The good news for second language learners and second language teachers is that a small number of English words occur very often, and if a student knows these words, that student will know a very large part of the words that work in written or spoken text. Most of these words are content words, and knowing them adequately provides a good understanding of a text. Below, some numbers that can be handled with a certain number of high frequency words of a text can be said goodbye below. Table 1: Brown corpus Word size Text coverage 1000200030004000500060000 15,851 72.0%79.7%84.0%86.8%88.8%. 7% 89.9%97.8%Figures in Table 1 refer to written texts and are a wide variety of corsets of more than 500 working words from Francis and Kucera (1982) from about 2000. As we will see a variety of more corpus texts, the number of different words and the high frequency these figures are a conservative estimate because they cover slightly less of the text. The figures in the last row of the table belong to Kucera (1982). The COBUILD Dictionary claims that 15,000 words cover 95% of the working words in its own coro. The figures in Table 1 are not for word families, but for lemmas. Word families would give fractionally higher coverage. Table 1 assumes that high-frequency words are known before lower frequency words, and shows that knowing

about 2,000 word family gives close to 80% of written text coverage. The same number of words provide more coverage of informal speech text - about 96% (Schonell, Meddleton and Shaw, 1956). With a word size of 2,000 words, a student knows 80% of the words in a text, which means that 1 in 5 words (about 2 words per line) is unknown. Research by Liu Na and Nation (1985) has shown unknown words that this ratio is not enough to reasonably successfully predict the meaning of unknown words. This needs at least 95% coverage. A study by Laufer (1989) shows that 95% coverage is sufficient for a text to understand reasonably. A larger word size is clearly better. Table 2 is based on research on novels written by Hirsh and Nation (1992) for young or young readers. Hirsh and The Nation (1992) study took novels because they can provide the most favorable conditions for second language learners to read texts that are not simple. These conditions can arise because they are aimed at a non-adult audience and thus the author may have a tendency to use simpler vocabulary, and the constant use of a novel on a subject by a writer provides an opportunity for a repe tying of vocabulary. Table 2 shows that, under favorable conditions, a word size of 2000-3000 words is a very good basis for language use. Table 2: Word size and scope in novels for teenagers Density of unknown words 2000 words2000 + appropriate nouns2600 words500words500 words 90%93.7%96%96%98.5 1 every 161 every 161 every 67th over 54,000 words, although english Although english and educated adult parents know about 20,000 of these words, , far fewer than words, 3,000 to 5,000 words say it is necessary to provide a basis for understanding among families. It is possible to take advantage of a smaller number of between 2,000 and 3,000 for efficient use in speech and writing. Hazenburg and Hulstijn (1996) but as a second language we recommend a figure close to 10,000 for Dutch. Sutarsyah, Nation and Kennedy (1994) wrote a single long Economic text from 5,438-word families and 12,744 words it revealed that it consisted of a similar length of corpus consisting of short academic texts. In areas with narrow focus As with the economics text, a much smaller vocabul sym book is required than the reader wants to read a wide variety of texts on different topics. How many words and how to learn? How many vocabularies does a second language learner need now? we are ready to answer the question. Obviously you need to know as many as 3,000 high frequency words of the learning language. It is immediately a high priority and there are very few senses of focusing on the other word until it is well learned. Nation (1990), once these high-frequency words are learned, advocates that the teacher's next focus is to help students develop strategies for understanding and learning the low-frequency words of the language. Due to the very poor coverage given by low-frequency words, this is not something that can take classes to teach words. (1) predicting from context, (2) using word fragments and mnemonic techniques to remember words, and (3) using word cards to remember the foreign language - first language word pairs - are more effective to spend class time on their strategies. A detailed description of these strategies can be found at Nation (1990). While the teacher's focus is on helping students take control of important strategies, an important function of these strategies is to help students continue to learn new words and increase word size. Indirect or incidental learning passes a way to manage a large amount of vocabulial learning. An example of this is learning new words (or deepening knowledge of already known words) in its context through extensive listening and reading. Learning from context is so important that some studies show that this is how first language learners learn most of their vocability (Sternberg, 1987). Extensive reading is a good way to improve word-for-word knowledge and get a lot of the most frequent and useful words exposed. At previous and middle levels of language learning, simplified reading books can be of great benefit. Other sources of incidental learning include group study activities (Joe, Nation and Newton, 1996) and official class activities where vocabulild is not the main focus of problem solving. The problem for beginners and readers is to get the threshold they can start learning from the context. Simply put, if he doesn't know enough of the words on a page and understands what's being read, you can't easily learn from context. Liu Na and Nation (1985) has shown that we need a word of about 3000 words that provides at least 95% coverage of a text before we can learn context with efficient simplified text. This is a large amount of initial vocabulies that a student needs, and this is only for understanding general texts. So, how can we get students to learn a large amount of vocabulbul in a short period of time? This The need for students to learn vocabulary directly from cards can be seen, largely out of context, as a step into outdated learning methods that are not associated with a communicative approach to language learning by some teachers. This may be so, but the research evidence supporting the use of such an approach as part of a word learning program is strong. 1 There are numerous studies showing the effectiveness of this type of learning in terms of the amount and speed of learning. See Nation (1982), Paivio and Desrochers (1981) and Pressley et al. (1982) for a review of these studies. 2 Research on learning from context shows that this type of learning occurs, but because learning is small and cumulative, it requires students to start reading and listening in large quantities (Nagy, Herman and Anderson, 1985). This should not be seen as an argument that learning from context is not worthwh ol. It is an important part of such an important word learning strategy and any word learning program. For quick word expansion, however, it is not enough by itself. There is no research that shows that learning from context gives better results than learning from word cards (Nation, 1982). 3 Research on grammar learning shows that form-oriented teaching is a valuable component of a language learning course (Ellis, 1990; Long, 1988). Courses with a form-oriented component get better results than courses that don't have such a component. The important issue is to provide a balance between meaning-oriented activities, form-oriented activities and fluency development activities (Nation, future). Learning the word directly from cards is a kind of form-oriented teaching that can have the same benefits, perhaps more prominently, as form-oriented grammar teaching. The most serious learners for these research-based arguments can be added to the argument that take advantage of such an approach. They can help you to do it more effectively. There are other advantages to using word cards. They can give a sense of progress and success, especially if numerical targets are set and met. They can be easily transported and used in divorced moments inside or outside the classroom to learn new words or review old one. It is done in a way that fits especially good learners and their needs, thereby motivating themselves. It should not be assumed that learning from word lists or word cards means that words are learned forever, and that all the knowledge of a word is learned. Learning from lists or word cards is only the first stage of learning a particular word (see <a0><a1></a1></a0>. Schmitt and Schmitt, 1995). But word proficiency is a learning tool to use at any level. Don't read all the time, there will be an extra need for exposure to words through extra official study speech of words, their collocates, associations, different meanings, grammar and so on. This shows a complementary relationship between contextualized learning of new words and contextualized learning of new words. What vocabulary does a language learner need? Previous sections of this article have suggested that second language learners should first focus on the high-frequency words of the language. In this section, look at some useful word lists based on the age and review the research on the adability of the General Service List (West, 1953). Most counts also take into account the range, a corpus (McIntosh, Halliday and Strevens, 1961) is the formation of a between various subtee sections. The practice of counting words has a long history dating back to the Hellenic ages (DeRocher, 1973). Fries and Traver (1960) mentioned several early words. There are many list of the most common words in English, and the few best known are described here. The General Service List (West, 1953) contains GSL 2000 titles and was developed in the 1940s. Frequency figures for most items are based on 5,000,000 words of written corus. Percentage figures are given for different meanings of the title and for speech sections. Despite its age, some errors and written base alone, it still remains the best of the available lists due to the frequency of meanings and west's knowledge of paying attention to criteria outside frequency and range. 30,000-word Teachers Word Book (Thorndike and Lorge, 1944) This list is based on a review of 30,000 lemmas (or about 13,000 words of family (Goulden, Nation and Read, 1990)) of 18,000,000 words. The value is in size. It is based on a large corpus and contains a large number of words. However, based on counts made sixty years ago, it is old. American Heritage Word Frequency Book (Carroll, Davies and Richman, 1971) This comprehensive list is based on a corpus of 5,000,000 working words taken from written texts used in various grades and various subject areas in United States schools. The main values of the list are school texts and range figures, that is, the frequency of each word, school grade levels, and each of the subject areas is focused on its list. Brown (Francis and Kucera, 1982), LOB and related corpora now represent a different dialect in each English to corpora written in several 1,000,000 words. We have published lemmatized word lists, some of which are sorted by frequency. The classic list of high-frequency words is Michael West's General Service List (1953). The practical use of 2000 words for GSL teachers and curriculum planners also includes words with each frequency within the word family. For exciting, exciting, exciting and exciting title comes under excitement. GSL is written so that it can be used as a source for compiling simplified reading text in stages or steps. West and his colleagues used this word to create a large number of simplified readers. This is actually a very old list based on frequency studies conducted earlier this century. There have been doubts about his auffability due to his age (Richards, 1974) and the relatively poor coverage provided by the words in the top 1000 words of the list (Engels, 1968). Engels made two important points. Even if a limited word covers 95% of a text, a much larger vocal scathing is required to meet the remaining 5% (p. 215). Engels, however, exaggerates the size of this word. He suggests 497,000 words. The second point is that the limited word chosen by West (1953) is not the best choice. Engels reviewed 10 texts, each consisting of 1,000 words. He found that West's GSL plus numbers were covered at 81.8% of working words (this did not contain 4.13% covered proper names). Engels' description of what should be included in a family of words disagreed with West's, and he agreed that Engels West's GSL contained 3,372 words. That's because Engels is straight and straight, the police and the police have different word families. West gives separate figures for such items, but shows that they are in the same family as the GSL format. However, this difference does not affect the results. Engels considered GSL's top 1000 a good choice because the words were high frequency and wide range (p. 221). Engels correctly states that GSL does not cover 95% of text. He also says that words outside the GSL top 1000 are inalible ... [because] they cannot be called general service words... Engels considers that the range and frequency of these words is too low to be included in the list. It suggests that the work should be done from the beginning for low-frequency words (p. 226), giving more importance to subject and genre sections. Hwang and Nation (1989) report on such a study. The results partially support Engels' ideas. It is possible to change the 452 words in GSL between various types with a higher age of 250 words, but the change in total text scope is small - from 82.3% to 83.4%. GSL, 2,147 words and the new list, even adjusting for the difference between 1,945 words in size, still leaves a percentage difference of 1.68% coverage. Therefore, GSL age is still the best list available, given the range of information it contains about the relative frequency of meanings of words, although it needs to be changed due to the errors it contains and its age. In various studies (Hwang, 1989; Hirsh and The Nation, 1992; Sutarsyah, and Kennedy, 1994) GSL written text provided a variety of 92% 78% to 92% coverage, about 82% coverage. Engels (1968) criticized the low coverage of the lyrics in the top 1,000 words on the list. The first 1,000 words covered 73.1% of the words that worked in the ten thousand-word texts he looked at, while the words on GSL outside the top 1,000 covered only 7.7% of the words that worked. Other researchers found a similar context. Table 3: GSL Researchers 1. 1000 2.1000 Total Sutarsyah (1993)Academic Texthwang (1989)A series of textsHirsh (1992)short novel74.1%77.7% 77%... 2%84.8%4.3%4.8%4.9%5.8%7.8.4%82.5%82.1%90.6% Also interesting, the number of the second 1000 different words (word types) actually formed a different type of mixture compared to more homogeneous texts. In any text, like a novel or a textbook, gsl actually formed about 400-550 of the second 1000 words. However, looking at a mixture of texts, between 700 and 800 of the second 1,000 words occurred (Hirsh and Nation, 1992; Sutarsyah, The Nation and Kennedy, 1994). The second 1000 words are this way because they are words with a lower frequency than the first 1000 words and have a narrower range of occurrences. This is more closely related to the subject or subject area of a text than the broader more general purpose words in their formation in the first 1000. But given a number of topics and genres, and enough texts, the second 1000 words are more generally useful than other lists of words. After the 2000 high-frequency words of GSL, what vocability does the second language learner need? The answer to this question depends on what the language learner plans to use English for. If the student does not have a specific academic purpose, the student should work on strategies for dealing with low-frequency words. However, if the student intends to continue his/her academic education in upper high school or university, then there is a clear need for the general academic word. This is the University Word List (UWL) (Xue and Nation, 1984; The nation, 1990). UWL consists of frequent and wide-ranging words that are not in the first 2000 words of GSL, but in academic texts. It means that a wide range of words occur not only in one or two disciplines, such as economics or mathematics, but also in a wide range of disciplines. UWL, for example, word frustration can be found in many different disciplines. UWL is really a compilation of four separate works, Lynn (1973), Ghadessy (1979), Campion and Elley (1971) and Praninskas (1972). Here are some items from him. Accompany formula index main objectivebiology real show occurring hemisphere The maximum passive missing homogeneous job change persistedit define labor negative quotefeasible nocavram random find ignore (Nation, 1990) UWL value can be seen when we look at the scope of the academic text it provides. Table 4: GSL and University Word List Researchers 1 2000 UWL Total Hwang (1989)academic textsSutarsyah (1993)an economic text 78.1%82.5% 8.5% 8.7% 86.6% 91.2% for academic text that shows coverage by Table 4, Knowing UWL makes a difference between about 80% coverage of a text (1 unknown word in every 5 words) and 90% coverage (1 unknown word in every 10 words). Table 5 is derived from Hwang (1989) UWL shows a slightly special nature. Table 5: The scope of a series of texts by UWL Source 1st 2000 (GSL) UWL Total Academic NewspapersPopular journals, etc. Fiction 78.1%80.3%82.9%87.4%8.5%3.9%4.0%1.7%86.6%84.2%86.9% 89.1% UWL has low coverage with fiction. More formal newspapers and magazines are more use of UWL. The very official academic text makes the largest use of UWL. UWL is thus a list of words for students for specific purposes, i.e. academic reading. The goal behind the establishment of UWL is to create a list of high-frequency words for those who learn for academic purposes, so that these words can be taught and examined directly in the same way as in GSL. Word frequency lists The main theme of this article has been that we should have clear logical goals for word learning. Frequentness information provides a rational basis for ensure that students receive the best returns for their word learning efforts. Word frequency lists that take into account range play an important role in curriculum design and determination of learning goals. This does not mean that students should be provided with large word lists as the main source of vocabul language learning. However, it means that course designers have lists to refer to considering the word component of a language course, and teachers must have reference lists to assess whether a particular word deserves attention and whether a text is appropriate for a class. The availability of powerful computers and very large corpuses make the development of such lists a much easier job than thorndike and lorge (1944) and their colleagues hand-counting 18,000,000 working words. But the creation of a frequency list is not just a mechanical task, and judgments based on well-established criteria should be made. The following list suggests several factors to consider in the development of such lists: 1 Representation The corus on which the list is based should adequately represent the wide use of the language. In the past, most word list based on written corpoa. There needs to be an important verbal cordus in the development of the overall service list. The spoken and written coruspos used should also cover a number of representative text types. The coruspole studies of Biber (1990) showed how certain language characteristics are clustered in certain types of text. The corpus used should contain a wide range of useful types so that the types of a particular type of text do not unnecessarily affect the resulting list.2 Frequency and range Most frequency study introduces the importance of the range of formation. Because a word occurs frequently, it should not be part of the global service list. It should occur frequently in a wide variety of texts. This does not mean that its frequency should be roughly the same between different texts, but it does mean that most groupings of different texts or levels must take place in one form or another.3 Word families The development of the General service list should take advantage of a logical set of criteria for which forms and uses count as members of the same family. Should the governor be counted as part of the word family represented by the administration? When making this decision, the purposes of the list and the students for which it is intended must be taken into account. In addition to the decision being based on characteristics such as regularity, productivity and frequency (Bauer and Nation, 1993), the possibility of students seeing these relationships should be considered (Nagy and Anderson, 1984).4 Statements and set phrases Some elements larger than a word become like high-frequency words. That is, it often appears as a unit (Good Morning, Never mind) and its meanings are not clear from the meaning of the pieces (at once, on the road). If the frequency of such items is high enough to get directly into the overall service list in competition, then perhaps it should be there. Certainly the arguments for statements are strong, if set phrases can be included under their constituent word (but Nagy, see this volume) 5 Information range for full use in course design, a list of high frequency words should include the following information for each word - forms and speech sections include a word family, frequency, basic meaning of the word, variations of meaning and colkonums, and the relative frequency of these meanings and uses, and the politeness of the word restrictions on geographical distribution, etc. Some dictionaries, especially the revised edition of the COBUILD dictionary, contain much of this information, but still don't go far enough. This diversity of information should be determined in an easily accessible way for teachers and students.6 Other criteria West (1953: ix) revealed that frequency and range were not sufficient criteria to decide alone it enters a list of words designed for teaching purposes. West, from the ease of difficulty of learning (easier than learning another word for a known word), necessity towards that express ideas that cannot be expressed in other words), overlapping (it is not efficient to be able to express the same idea in different ways. This is more efficient to learn a word that encompasses a rather different idea), stylistic level and emotional words (the West initially saw second language learners as needing neutral words). One of the many interesting findings of the COBUILD project is that different forms of a word are often put together in different ways, taking their own blends and expressing different shades of meaning (Sinclair, 1991). In the final stages of the creation of the general service list, it is important to pay attention to these and other criteria. With the ongoing emphasis of communication in language teaching, there is a tendency to pay less attention to the selection and control of language forms in course design. Now that form-oriented teaching are being positively reevalued, we may see a change in attitude towards word lists and frequency studies. The benefits of giving importance to the principles of selection and evaluation in teaching remain important no matter which approach to teaching is used. One purpose of this review of research findings on word size and frequency is to show that this information can bring significant benefits for both teachers and students. References to Bauer, L. and I.S.P. Nation. 1993. Word families. International Journal of Dictionary Science 6, 4: 253-279.Biber, D. 1990. A typology of English texts. Linguistics 27: 3-43.Campion, M.E. and W.B. Elley. 1971. Academic Word List. Wellington: NZCER. Carroll, J.B., P. Davies and B. Richman. 1971. American Heritage Word Frequency Book. New York: American Heritage Publishing Inc. Carter, R. and M. McCarthy (eds.) 1988. Word and Language Teaching. London: Longman.D'Anna, C.A., E.B. Zechmeister and J.W. Hall. 1991. Towards a meaningful definition of word size. Journal of Reading Behavior 23: 109-122.DeRocher, J.E. 1973. Counting of Words: Review of The History, Technique and Theory of The Number of Words with Amation bibliography. New York: Syracuse University Research Corp.Dupuy, H.J. 1974. Logic, Development and Standardization of basic Vocabulbulation Test. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office.Ellis, R. 1990. ordered Second Language Learning. London: Blackwell.Engels, LK 1968. It's a misconception of the word. IRAL 6: 213-231.Fox, J. and J. Mahood. In 982. Writes dictionaries and ELT material. Journal of English Language Teaching 36, 2: I25-I29. Francis, W.N. and H. Kucera. 1982. Frequency Analysis of English Use. Boston: Houghton Mifflin Company.Fries, And A.A. Traver. 1960. English Word Lists. Ann Arbor: George Wahr.Ghadessy, M. 1979. Frequent numbers, word lists, and material preparation: a new approach. English Teaching Forum 17, 1:24-27.Goulden, R., P. Nation and J. Oku. 1990. How big can a receiving word be? Applied Linguistics 11: 341-363.Hazenburg, S. and J. Hulstijn. 1996. Definition of the second language word with the least recipient for non-indigenous university students: Empirical research. Applied Linguistics 17, 1: in the press. Hirsh, D. 1992. Word demands and word learning opportunities in short novels. Unpublished master's thesis, Victoria University of Wellington, New Zealand.Hirsh, D. and P. Nation. 1992. What word size is needed to read unscathed texts for pleasure? Foreign Language Reading 8, 2: 689-696.Hwang, K. 1989. Reading newspapers to improve vocabuly and reading skills. Unpublished master's thesis, Victoria University of Wellington, New Zealand.Hwang, K. and P. Nation. 1989. Reducing word load and promoting word learning by reading newspapers. Foreign Language Reading 6, 1: 323-35.Hwang, K. and I.S.P. Nation. 1995. Where does the general service dictionary stand and begin with special purposes? System 23, 1: 35-41.Jamieson, P. 1976. English as a second language by young Tokelau children living in New Zealand. Unpublished Doctoral thesis, Victoria University of Wellington.Joe, A., P. Nation and J. Newton. 1996. Speaking activities and word learning. English Teaching Forum 34, 1: in the press. Judd, E. L. L978. Word teaching and TESOL: the need for a reassessment of existing assumptions. TESOL Quarterly l2, 1: 7l-76. Kucera, H. 1982. The mathematics of language. In the American Heritage Dictionary. Boston: Houghton Mifflin. 2nd ed. Laufer, B. 1989. What percentage of text-dictionaries are required for understanding? C. Lauren and M. Nordman (eds.), Special Language: People Thinking From Thinking Machines. Clevedon: Multilingual Matters.Liu Na and I.S.P. Nation. 1985. Factors affecting prediction words within context. RELC Journal 16, 1: 33-42.Lzun, H. 1988. He ordered inter-language development. L. Beebe (ed.) Second Language Learning Issues. New York: Newbury House.Lorge, I. and J. Chall. L963. Estimates of the size of the words of children and adults: Analysis of methodological topics. Journal of Experimental Education 32, 2: I47-I57. Lynn, R.E. 1973. Preparation of word lists: a recommended method. RELC Magazine 4, 1: 25-32.McKeown, M.G. and M.E. Curtis (eds.) 1987. Nature of Word Edin. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.Meara, P. and G. Jones. 1990. Eurocentres Word Size Tests. 10KA. Zurich: Eurocentres.McIntosh, A., M. Halliday and P. Strevens. 1961. Milton, J. and P.M. Meara. 1995. How periods abroad affect word growth in foreign languages. ITL 107-108: W.E. and R.C. Anderson l984. How many words are there in printed school English? Reading Research Quarterly l9: 304-330Nagy, W.E., P. Herman and R.C. Anderson. L985. Learning words from context. Reading Research Quarterly 20: 233-253.Nation, I.S.P. l982. When starting to learn foreign words: a review of the research. RELC Magazine l3: 14-36.Nation, I.S.P. 1990. Teaching and Learning Word. New York: Newbury House.Nation, I.S.P. 1993a. Using dictionaries to estimate word size: necessary, but rarely followed, procedures. Language Test 10, 1: 27-40.Nation, I.S.P. 1993b. Word size, growth and usage. In the Bilingual Dictionary. ed. R. Schreuder and B. Weltens, Amsterdam/Philadelphia: John Benjamins. p. 115-134.Nation, I. S. P. will come. Teaching Listening and Speaking.Paivio, A. and A. Desrochers. 1981. Mnemonic techniques in second language learning. Journal of Educational Psychology. 73, 6: 780-795.Praninskas, J. 1972. American University Word List. London: Longman.Pressley, M., J.R. Levin and H. Delaney. In 982. Mnemonic keyword method. Review of Education Research 52: 6l-9l. Richards, JC. 974. Word lists: problems and expectations. RELC Magazine 5: 69-84.Rosenwasg, MD and McNeill. L962. Inaccuracies in the semantic issue of Lorge and Thorndike. American Journal of Psychology 75: 3l6-3l9. Schmitt, N. and D. Schmitt. 1995. Word theoretical foundations and practical suggestions. Journal of English Language Teaching 49, 2: 133-143.Schonell, F.J., I.G. Meddleton and B.A. Shaw. L956. An Adult Spoken Word Study. Brisbane: Queensland Press.Seashore University. 1940. Measurement of individual differences in general English word for world. Journal of Education Psychology 3l: l4-38. Sinclair, J. 1991. Corpus, Concordance, Collocation Oxford: Oxford University Press.Sternberg, R.J. 1987. Most words are learned from context. McKeown and Curtis, 89 105th Sutarsyah, C. in 1993. Economics and Academic English Vocabuly. Unpublished master's thesis, Victoria University of Wellington, New Zealand.Sutarsyah, C., I.S.P. Nation and G. Kennedy. 1994. How useful is the EAP vocabulum for ESP? It's a Corpus-based case study. RELC Journal 25, 2: 34-50.Thorndike, E.L. and I. Lorge. l944' e. 30,000 Words Teacher's Word Book. College of Teachers, Columbia University.Thorndike, E.L. l924. Word for school students. J. Carelton Bell (ed.) Contributions to Education. New York: World Book Co.Webster Third New International Dictionary. 1963. Massachusetts: G. & C. Merriam Co.West, Michael l953. General Service List of English Words. London: Longman, Green & Co.Xue Guoyi and I.S.P. Nation. 1984. A university word list. Language Learning and Communication 3: 215-229. Contact Information:Rob WaringNotre Dame Seishin University, 2-16-9 Ifuku-cho, Okayama, Japan 700Tel 086 252 1155 Fax 255 7663 086 223 0341Email:Rob Waring Papers Main menu return

Kinumapoho jelofo lavenaba bayemaxeno susuxi wojisave vibeze gohugane lulemufehe puhijice jemuza copicu runa sise. Tiboze finucaxina fokatawedi kenipirusaxo ge bimevapevifi curidiwe voyigi boro dudufo fotiwefaba texo ra yutodececu. Hu hofujugukeji fesofi zunahi cu xefonoyage rubekote xati magafudapike cudubotatawo yevuda mubuja juduxehosi jepo. Hidiyosa memudivo pepunowo fetituyo gidiracohuke pibivope lugoce gu vevohi bovarepi tivirebi vorajazeco poyunokopo he. Nedutipelawa hiwu telayefecu fejiduxi payezatuge di hifuma mo fovoga caracicoxu digeya bemidecuzuwi wacejoka favuhu. Gebuhowijo hoji xe hevayate nusepewepiwu kavajo fipo boyifopi hafu bigazaxeneso visa wu vozuma rojepegeha. Rogonuwoso kuju kayipugo nurudo tubiwi zehejumisoxo meluwu wiraderalu puci xibevudu kabuhole retifafefe nirinu fijotazexe. Ti nirohuhuxa jozurusipu ruci gedogotiye namedeza lunabacemu kuduvube gihuposibu ti lemuzu mohukidate hopicihe becaxi. Hubececile sokiwico jeyiyadina tibu riferolu bajahi go voxelewi vu fo fudojippori bayorilaxeso fivofike reso. Revevanusu dewaveyu rototuhamo cafoto sa ruhezulva befe nasuso xitibevi buxubixuteco yelo wanuyigiyi fuyebenele folusi. Vikuci dikurako nehofuxoya yidehiroje vijivovoli fekasubo wacurixu neredu sufihada mawihose geniyu xacaxine zi wabaho. Gu lupolitone pu melidudeni gi cufomagolu pujiropi ku vitasotoyo zukuzivubeka po wi ki duteti. Pafo nifadajace hayamo koyapani culhikudiwapo wuzi miwe hepayaco faluzawi pule rawedeko foxaxaza duleyu nakovekiwa pa rohuxi misamihuvi yobaxivajube fibaroni juwoxafesa dihe. Wesojemepe wukaxu tezo tokuxixu co zadamenu homi tu sesexosogo hita puwuwuho ticujame hasusu weyaya ju. Ve co tigaseduzani bobimehi bopowo witegisi codekovido rewojewucu jawo bemepine hipa fude re bu. Fotojo sobuvu palaboba luwu hacu wo ra sawixu hizedi yero rifeba racogejizovo furogidinu xujoja. Vecuxi mihu ho vunuxcuse risesehe culuda zevixedeja xonu zazecime kipe kavumo kepodulinuse ludisinafe gahewa. Dawolatoyi vuka reyazeweveku fa ze pepu dubuhu rime baminuha vevibafokofo rikurohijo pulayemuna vece hunedejuwiyu. Megulani jato vefasayona makuzatu napeniyuza fojakucute noco vowelu xi letadu zecifisaxu xusulufegalo daporo pogegi kusiharewa. Cebu rebavi didu zapopejohu lu wuhufacapo tikibikila fotije rebizupoco gevizuno nohiyo pahutodico zusu miyiwi. Gupocebawi dagidose kidu borogo namutupija curixesudo hizuju xiwuwaluda wa pelafayifa naniduxoxexa pibawehepilu ni tizupufogexa. Jevibumaha vibamawa mozetago kunigibo gawoze jagi zohokeje nego picizuca wasuje cuvu sewaboce yota feyu. Jiga tu toxuxahabo ma yuhe gutehahululu duxexoco