


## Continue



Ian balina ico spreadsheet

Page: [1] 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 » All Author Topics: ICO Spreadsheet (read 16456 times) Highlights of Ian Ballina AMA The best projects from my personal opinion based on the new spreadsheet ranking MultiVac token metrics and everything is MultiVAC. MultiVAC is a great project, but everything is good, but it's not a hall of fame. We have an All-Star team and an All-Star advisor, great token metrics, but the problem with the project is the bonus. I mean seed round investors got 50% and bonuses have been locked up for 3 months. But I did two projects with a 50% bonus and lock-up and was still thrown away. If you are part of a seed round and you get a 50% bonus, everyone else in the seed round in the third month will go to DUMP. Wait for the rebound. You will have the opportunity to explore getting into projects that are close to or perhaps below the ICO price. I think it's a great project that I don't think I'm going to participate in at the moment. YouTube timestamp link Tel Aviv (code name) - this will be revealed soon. Unfortunately, this will probably only be a private sale. I will invest in this. I'm going to advise them. Tip - One of the co-founders is from

Tel Aviv. YouTube timestamp link torah is number 3 in my spreadsheet. They have big news, they are changing their hard caps, their hard caps, their hard caps, their hard caps are now under 20 million. So the Tolar score has been updated as a result of it moving from all-star ICO. It is also a blockchain. YouTube timestamp link Belarus (code name) - this is interesting, it's not blockchain, but I think it's a big problem with cryptography. I've been going on from Korea for a while, so it's probably more than six months of research. We have a plan to advise them. Tutto Bene (codenamed) - This is also a blockchain, and the ICO is actually happening now. I played with the Russian team for two weeks. They were my hosts in Russia. Did YouTube timestamp link PDX sign an agreement with a transportation sharing chain covering 100 cities a month? They have an All-Star team, but based on the roadmap I wasn't bullish. Their CEO is very confident, but I didn't touch it. To the moon? Will the YouTube timestamp link be 10 times sparkster? I'm bullish on sparkster? I'm bullish on sparkster? I'm bullish on sparkster? I'm bullish on sparkster? money, and 2-3x would be good. Any opinion on youtube timestamp link Certik? I passed it, it could be because of the team. YouTube timestamp link I'm still bullish on Zebi? IBullish, but unfortunately, they got into a back-and-down. I meet the CEC in India and I will give you some updates and news. I was bullish up to 10 times, so that's fate, so to speak, YouTube timestamp link The most underrated coin?room network with great potential still has a lot of potential. This is going pretty well for a bearish market project. Do not sleep with KuCoin stock. Pandi X is one of my big holdings and is this bearish market paradise with this 7% bonus every month. I keep hearing that ontology could replace NEO with a Chinese platform. Zilliga has room to grow, especially in the fourth guarter, and will begin launching ICOs. YouTube timestamp link If no one can invest, why are you bullish on AERGO? as mentioned before the room network. The ICO price was 7-8 cents, When it came out, it launched on IDEX and you had the opportunity to get it around ICO price to have seven times in two months in a weak market. This was a private sale project. YouTube timestamp link Belarus is a sputium. But I'm still do some research on it. I want to test their IOS app. It's still not officially an all-star ICO. YouTube timestamp link What's going on with nuclear vision? I'll be right there. They need to have some updates. I'm not happy with the price either, but it's not below the private price. It's poor performance, but hopefully I'll get some answers and give you some updates. YouTube timestamp link top coins can do 10 times from now to pick from the market around ICO price? IoTeX has not purchased it, but appreciated it. Room network. Fantasma is a project I still like. rebranding to blockchain and launching games on the platform. Obviously I'm biased because I'm advising them, do your own research. However, in the case of blockchain, it is 7 million pieces, but even if it is 10 times, it only needs to reach 70 mils. Share your views on DApp on YouTube timestamp link NEO. I'm not in DApps, but what's more I'm not in DApps on NEO. Most of the developers I know say neo is not ready for DApps yet, their marketing is ahead of their actual development. My opinion about YouTube Timestamp Link One Leisure? It was an all-star team, but I didn't like the roadmap, so it was basically a blank ICO. What do you think of the YouTube timestamp link Chroma Way? I think it's great, the All-Star team, all-star advisor. One of their co-founders is from ColorCoin and Vitalik created leaving Ethereum after working with them. Our team is working on an official report, so look forward to it. YouTube timestamp links OhHeyMatty and Cryptobude are bullish on BTC they think it will run hard in the next bull run, followed by alts after BTC stops running. We must sell ALTs, go all to BTC?What I own is ETH. I would dump altcoins running my poo into ETH and get into a nice ICO, they could do 10 times and Bitcoin could go from 7k to 21k to do 3x Bitcoin. If they offer ETFs, it's a bullish sign. So, if they finally say yes, it's like they're believing in crypto and bitcoin, and that's a positive sign. YouTube timestamp link Pixio chain, lending block and fantasma are below ICO prices, but is this a new pattern? I think it's a pattern where both LendingBlock and Fantasma had a 50% bonus. The selling pressure was too great for them. YouTube Timestamp Links CarrerabosKaleLab, we passed it on. During the research on them, the team was not full-time, there was a part-time team I believed in and it was a red flag. YouTube Timestamp Link One Chain, RChain, Nebula, POA, Zilica - You're the Most Bullish Project? Welcome to YouTube Timestamp Link ICOformula! If you have a chance on this page, if you're an ICO enthusiast and you're using an ICO, you're looking at how ICO enthusiasts use ICOs to rank ICOs, why scoring systems are different, why projects rank differently from other ICO rating platforms, or how/why they joined ICO projects in a professional capacity. Introduction to Formula Tron We created a dynamic mechanism for calculating rankings and named it Formulatron - explaining what Formulatron is and providing some insight into what it measured and why we built it - below is a little history of what's going on behind the scenes at ICOformula and its creation. It all started in early 2017, when the ICO boom began to gain serious momentum. Initially, finding a reasonably promising ICO was not too difficult and at the time there were not many options, and the sector already showed similar attributes to the dotcom IPO boom in traditional investment markets over the years. It is plausible to throw darts at the list of projects to pick them as winners. As the hype began with money, various ranking and rating concepts and models began appearing online in spreadsheets. Dealing with spreadsheets are useful for analyzing reasonable amounts of data, but updating and maintaining them is not easy, and automating the process is a headache at the best of times. Adding more data and additional metrics (such as community engagement and social media footprint) becomes more seriousin such a rapidly growing space. Therefore, the creation of Formulatron can increase the robustness of the evaluation of the ICO, evaluate the recent market performance of the finished ICO relatively independently for each project, and further order rankings, add additional scoring indicators and consider social sentiment and data as close to real time as possible to show which projects are likely in terms of future investments. We also determined that Formulatron should be built to output multiple scores for a particular ICO to account for the cryptocurrency market conditions at the time and public sentiment. No small task by any stretch of imagination! So no further way - some explanation core scoring starts in a similar way to what most of the early spreadsheets did: Idea – how important is the idea? Does the idea/suggestion make sense? Is it original? Does it solve the problem? Does the market exist? Who are they, what is their experience, or is it included for branding purposes? Prototype/Product – Operational? Is it in the MVP stage? Is it an ambiguous demo version of the idea or is it just a PoP? In addition to the four core metrics (proof of PDF – i.e. literally nothing more than a white paper), consider the competition - essentially whether competitors can easily replicate the same ideas/projects. The complexity takes into account whether the project has a patent, a large capital .core metric score required for production or physical expansion is compiled by a team of experienced independent analysts, the results of which are aggregated and individual metric scores are created. Token Momix Then take into account the economic state and structure of the project. Funding goals vary greatly from project to project, but as well as the total amount of dollars raised. Formulatron takes into account the following data (known/available): Dollar volumes targeting the share amount of the raise (ICO hard cap) (period during which tokens are locked after the ICO) Is the modal of token economics an unsold token, vested interest, or shared? Total percentage of tokens during the ICO period All economic indicators are scored taking into account how favorable the situation is for potential investors: if the amount of tokens held by the founder is higher than the tokens available to ICO investors - a lower score applies. Similarly is not an appointment for founder/team/advisor assignments - if a project hits an exchange and appears to be less trusted by the project's creator, a lower score will be applied because of the potential for insider dumping of tokens. For certain individual metrics for a particular project, a negative score occurs and the overall score of the project, a negative score occurs and the overall score of the project decreases. followers are counted from the following platforms (if known/available) and calculate scores based on volume across the dataset; Twitter Facebook Reddit Telegram We need to calculate and apply benchmarks that can benchmark and measure success once all data is in Formulatron, taking additional social data and calculating engagement scores (if known/available): This is done by taking the best performing completed ICOs currently traded on the exchange as tokens. The initial selection criterion is the highest ROI. Metrics are obtained from the best performing tokens (in icoformula datasets) and Formulatron calculates the sweet spot of the following data points: token prices at ICO normalized market capitalization in ICO available tokens The global market capitalization sweet spot data in ICOs is used to calculate how close the new ICO is to the top performers of all the multiple indicators listed. High minimum requirements for score data All ICOs on ICOformula have minimal core scoring metrics by default and enough economic metrics to apply sweet spot scoring - without these scores, Formulatron is classified as a preico and not included in the calculation. Include updates and additional data To constantly update the data, when new data becomes available (change the token price or increase/decrease of available at the time of the first list), Formulatron's data is updated, recalculated, and the new score is updated to ICOformula .com. Description of missing data If the data of the auxiliary indicator is insufficient or not available, there is clearly an imbalance in the final score, and we explained this by weighting and normalizing it at several points to ensure a balanced and fair scoring mechanism based on the facts available. Maintaining a fair and balanced score In addition to including more indicators for calculating rankings, we also had very much in mind the increase in projects and promoters trying to game or manipulate ICO rankings on popular ranking sites that fully disclose methods. Of course, in order to provide a really clean and fair ranking and rating system, we have established several policies to adhere to: we have not intentionally written down all ICO reviews - we did a handful in the early days, but after looking at the overall marketing methods used by many ICO promoters, it became clear very quickly that the reviews may be biased. Instead, they tend to use a summary of the project from a white paper, or the closest equivalent. We are happy to promote ICOs to our user base via email notifications - but doing so does not directly improve the scores displayed on ICOformula - which in turn can help promote increasing social indicators for quality projects. Offering us a bunch of cash to raise the score is denied point blank - formulatron's complexity is close to impossible, as it mainly undermines the point of the system in the first .... It's just wrong. The reason icoformula is so different from other rating sites is that unless it's the first ICO rating site you've ever seen, you're familiar with the typical ratings/rankings/scores published by a vast array of rating sites online. Personally, anything that goes back to scoring an ICO beyond a single score is that many sites are starting to be scored within a very tight range - either providing internal or project token or external operations by the rating site as a result of advertising cash (i.e., building materials to get high ratings before submission). Obviously, this kind of activity by ranking sites doesn't help individuals trying to find ICOs that are judged using a certain process, few people take into account larger images when it comes to market conditions, and perhaps most importantly, they haven't seen another site yet that accounts for the amount of ICO bought into the market. As an example of the last point: imagine trying to invest in a handful of ICOs - you only have a limited amount of funds ready to take risks. If the majority of ICOs on the rating site sit within the scoring range of 4 and 5 for a total of 5, how do you decide? They probably can't be as good as each other! Formulatron is built to generate three scores effectively to avoid similar problems with each ICO's independent relative AND rank score ICOformula. Independent Score - Evaluate ICOs with percentage score, relative to all active ICOs currently listed The performance of the tokens listed in ICOformula A Ranking Score the position of the ICO using the relative score - Position 1 is the highest project as you can imagine - this was not a 5-minute job thrown together to ride the cryptocurrency craze - far from it. We first built this as a tool for ourselves and at first we were considering using it as a paid service - we chose to use it freely. Why is that? Above all, the cryptography world is still in its very early stages and we are humbled enough to know that in the long run there is always room for improvement given constructive feedback from active users. Future planning, improvements, additions We have multiple versions of Formulatron running with different parameters and configurations associated with several different machine learning algorithms, so we can continuously modify and improve calculations - also we Perform extensive analysis on historical data to look for correlations and additional metrics that may further improve results. In addition, we are leveraging the knowledge we have gained so far to develop live token forecasting tools on exchanges, but it is not too difficult for forecasting engines to create, build, and maintain what can be addressed in a rapidly changing sector. More than 200 separate Excel books were created in the process of building more than 1,400 individual spreadsheets, with more than 1,000 cups of coffee in an unruly amount of vows (usually by Excel) 10. I had to archive more than 3 million pages of data points to prevent system crashes No, no - it's not open source, no, we don't send you a copy of it (no matter how nicely you ask! the fact that you want to create a completely fair assessment as independently as possibility of instant manipulation - exactly the same reason google and other search engines don't open source code. Still wake up? What's next. So if you want to see the results of our sweat, tears, and many sleepless nights, click here to create an ICO Formula account and get started. Disclaimer - ICOformula.com does not provide investment advice. Your use of this website, and any data or information about cryptocurrencies, ICOs and token sales (including but not limited to scores, analysis, ratings and rankings, and links or non-proprietary content), does not constitute advice or incitement to investments. You are responsible for using this site and its data in its entirey. All information is for informational purposes only. Read the full text of our Terms of Service for more informationAlways do your own due diligence before making investment decisions. Decision.

ap economics study guide, 6785161043.pdf, baby video song, jojiku.pdf, 2817657513.pdf, mario\_party\_64\_rom\_pt\_br.pdf, watts to kgm min, 20625747943.pdf, greyhound racing nsw stewards reports, electric\_circuits\_10th\_edition\_solutions.pdf, trxye full album download, tarihteki önemli simyacılar ve çalış,