



I'm not robot



Continue

Diglossia and code switching

The term code switching is used when examining how people talk in different situations. The code is considered as a more neutral way of expressing the dialect and is believed to be generally two codes, a prestigious code and a daily code. The term diglossia is also used to describe a person's ability to move from one dialect or code to another. The subtle difference between code switching and diglossia is that diglossia is considered to be a more intentional change of dialect due to the situation and code switching is perceived as a more subconscious change. This article refers to alternating between two or more languages in speech. For other uses, see Switch code (disambiguation). The action to change between two or more languages or varieties of languages in the context of a single conversation The lead section of this article may be too short to adequately summarize its key points. Please consider extending the leads to provide an accessible overview of all important aspects of the article. (November 2020) Sociolinguistics Key Concepts Code-toggle Diglossia Language Change Language Language Planning Multilinguals Study Fields Accent Dialect Register Speech Analysis Language Varieties Language Description Variation People Sociolinguists Related Linguistic Swaying Linguistics Linguistic linguistics Linguistics Sociological Linguistics Language Portalvte Language Category In Linguistics, Switching Codes or Alternating Language Occurs When a Speaker Alternates Between Two Or More Languages , or varieties of language, in the context of a single conversation. Multilingual, multilingual speakers, sometimes use elements of multiple languages when they make conversation with each other. Thus, the switching of the code is the use of several linguistic varieties in a manner compatible with the syntax and phonology of each variety. Used in 1940 and 1950, many researchers considered code switching to be a substandard use of language. [1] Since the 1980s, most researchers have come to consider it a normal, natural, bilingual and multilingual use of languages. [2] [3] The term code switching is also used outside the linguistics domain. Some scholars use the term to describe literary styles that include elements from several languages, such as in the novels of Chinese-American, Anglo-Indian or Latin writers. [4] In popular use, code switching is sometimes used to refer to relatively stable informal mixtures of two languages, such as Spanglish, Taglish or Hinglish. [5] Both in popular use and in the study of name switching is sometimes used to refer to switching between dialects, styles, or registers. [6] This form of switching is practiced, for example, by African-American Vernacar English speakers as they move from less formal to more formal settings. [7] Such when carried out by public figures, would be politicians, are sometimes criticized as signaling inauthenticity or insincerity. [8] Distinctive features Switching the code is different from other linguistic contact phenomena, such as loans, pidgins and creoles and translation of the loan (calques). Loan affects the lexicon, the words that make up a language, while the switching code takes place in individual statements. [9] [10] [11] Speakers form and establish a pidgin language when two or more speakers who do not speak a common language form a third intermediate language. On the other hand, speakers practice switching code when speaking fluently in both languages. Mixing code is a thematically related term, but the use of code switching terms and code mixing varies. Some researchers use any of the terms to designate the same practice, while others apply code mixing to designate the formal linguistic properties of linguistic contact phenomena and switchcodes to designate the actual uses spoken by multilingual people. [12] [13] [14] Code change and language transfer There is much debate in the field of linguistics about the distinction between code switching and language transfer. [15] According to Jeanine Treffers-Daller, considering CS (code change) and language transfer as similar phenomena is useful if someone wants to create a theory as parsimonious as possible, and it is therefore worth trying to pursue such a unified approach, unless there is convincing evidence that this is not possible. [15] Not all linguists agree on whether similar phenomena should be considered. In some cases, linguists refer to the benefits and disadvantages of language transfer as two separate phenomena, namely language transfer and linguistic interference, respectively. [16] In such opinions, these two types of language transfer, together with the change of code, may include what is known as translinguistic influence. [16] Part of the debate can be resolved by simply clarifying key definitions. Obviously, linguists sometimes use different terminology to refer to the same phenomenon, which can confuse him to distinguish between two phenomena from each other in the investigative discourse. For example, psycholinguists frequently use the term language switching with reference to controlled and willful switching to another language. However, this term is difficult to use by linguists working on the natural switching of codes. [15] Linguists have adopted that switching codes involves switching between languages. But when a multilingual speaker fluent in languages being alternated, mitigate the claim behind this debate. This is because language transfer does not require switching between language systems to be performed by a multilingual speaker. Therefore, this may explain transfer errors where competence in a language is less than speaker in the other. On the other hand, there are linguists who argue that CS and transfer are manifestations of the same phenomenon, i.e. the influence of one language on another, is an attractive null hypothesis that can be tested in experimental environments. [15] Reason There are several reasons to change codes in a single conversation: A particular topic: People generally change codes during speech about a particular topic when a particular language is needed or preferred; alternative speech can better convey relevant concepts. Quoting someone: People will change the codes while quoting another person. Solidarity and gratitude: When expressing gratitude or solidarity, changing the code may take place by mistake or with the intention of promoting a report. Clarification: A speaker may engage in code switching when listeners have difficulty initially understanding certain words or concepts, or when the speaker does not know or remember the right words in one of the languages. Group identity: People can change their language to express group identification. This can happen, for example, when you introduce members of a particular group to others. [17] To soften or strengthen the command: While asking someone to do something, the switching code works to mark the accent or provide inspiration. Lexical need: People often use technical or idiomatic speech in a foreign or non-primary language; code switching occurs when the translation of such words or phrases could distort the precise meaning. Unconscious effort: People can engage in switching code without thinking about it. This can happen when one is scared of a particular event or circumstances, would be going on a thrilling walk to an amusement park. [18] To fit in: Switchcode is a useful tool for people to talk and act more like those around them. [19] To get something: When a person moves to a dialect, language or accent of local people in the area, he or she can get better offers, prices, or treatments when purchasing an item or service. [20] To say something in secret: Code change can be used when a person wants to send a message to another person with the intention that no one else around them understands if they are talking in another language. [21] Types of researchers use different names for different types of switching code. Inter-stenting switching takes place outside the level of the sentence or clause (i.e. at the limits of the sentence or clause). [22] Sometimes it's called extratentential switching. [23] In Assyrian-English it can be said, Ani wideili. What's going on? (These, Made. What's the matter?). [24] Intra-sentence switching takes place in a sentence or in a clause. [22] [23] In Spanish-English switching you might say, La onda is to fight y jambar. (The last fad is to fight and steal.) [25] Switching labels is switching either a label phrase or a word, or both to another, (common in intra-sentence switches). [22] In Spanish-English switching you might say, El es de México y así los criaron a ellos, you know. (He's from Mexico, and they grow like this, you know.) [26] Intra-word switching takes place in a word itself, would be at a morpheme limit. [23] In English Shona might say, but ma-day-s a-no a-ya ha-ndi-si ku-mu-on-a. (But these days I don't see it much.) Here appears the English plural morphheme -s next to the prefix Shona ma-, which also marks the plurality. [26] Most code switching studies focus primarily on intra-sentence switching, as it creates many hybrid grammatical structures that require explanation. The other types involve words that simply follow the grammar of one language or another. Intra-sentence switching may be alternative or inserted. In alternational code-switching, a new grammar appears, which is a combination of grammars of the two languages involved. Switching the insertion code involves inserting items from one language into the morphosyntactic frame of the other. [26] Theories Social Theories The switching code refers to, and sometimes indexes membership of social groups in bilingual and multilingual communities. Some sociolinguists describe the relationships between code-changing behaviors and class, ethnicity and other social positions. [27] In addition, researchers in interactional linguistics and conversation analysis studied the switching of codes as a means of structuring speech in interaction. [28] [29] [30] Some speech analysts, including conversation analyst Peter Auer, suggest that switching codes does not simply reflect social situations, but is a means of creating social situations. [31] [32] [33] The Marking Model Main Article: The Marker Model, the Marked Model, developed by Carol Myers-Scotton, is one of the most comprehensive theories of code-changing motivations. It posits that foreign language users are rational and choose to speak a language that clearly marks their rights and obligations, in relation to and within other speakers, in the conversation and within it. [34] When there is no clear, unmarked choice of language, speakers practice switching the code to explore possible language options. However, many sociolinguists oppose the postulation of the Demarked Model that the choice of language is entirely rational. [35] [36] Sequential analysis The researchers of the conversation analysis, such as Peter Auer and Li Wei, argue that the social motivation behind code switching lies in the way code switching is structured and managed in conversation interaction; in other words, the question of why code switching occurs cannot be solved without first addressing the issue of how it occurs. conversation analysis (CA), these researchers focus their attention on the sequential implications of code switching. That is, whatever language a speaker chooses to use a return of conversation, or part of a turn, impacts subsequent language choices by the speaker as well as the listener. Instead of focusing on the social values inherent in the languages that the speaker chooses (the meaning brought), the analysis focuses on the meaning that the act of switching the codes itself creates (the meaning brought). [28] [35] Communication Accommodation Theory Communication Accommodation Theory (CAT), developed by Howard Giles, a professor of communication at the University of California, Santa Barbara, aims to explain the cognitive reasons for code change and other changes in speech, because one person either emphasizes or minimizes social differences between him and the other person (other) person in the conversation. Giles posits that when speakers seek approval in a social situation, they are likely to converge their speech with that of the other speaker. This may include, but is not limited to, the chosen language, accent, dialect and paralingual characteristics used in the conversation. In contrast to convergence, speakers could also engage in a divergent discourse in which an entire person emphasizes the social distance between him and other speakers by using speech with linguistic characteristics characteristic of his or her own group. Diglossia Main article: Diglossia In a diglossic situation, some topics are more suitable for using one language over another. Joshua Fishman proposes a domain-specific code switching model[37] (later refined by Blom and Gumperz)[38] in which bilingual speakers choose which code to speak based on where they are from and what they discuss. For example, a child who is a bilingual Spanish-English speaker could speak Spanish at home and English in class, but Spanish at halftime. [39] Language Theories The Critical or Controversy Section of this article may compromise the article's neutral view of the subject. Please integrate the content of the section into the article as a whole or rewrite the material. (June 2016) In studying syntactic and morphological patterns of language alternation, linguists postulated specific grammatical rules and specific syntactic boundaries for where code switching might take place. Model based on constraint: Poplack (1980) Shana Poplack's code switching model is an influential theory of grammar switching codes. [26] In this model, the code switching is subject to two constraints. The free morphem constraint stipulates that the code switching cannot take place between a lexical strain and the bound morphs. In essence, this constraint distinguishes the switching of the loan code. In general, the loan takes place in the lexicon, while the switchcode takes place either at the syntax level or at the [9] [10] [11] The equivalence constraint provides that switches only take place at points where the surface structures of the languages coincide or between elements that are normally ordered in the same way by each individual grammar. [26] For example, the sentence: I like you porque eres simpático (I like you because you are beautiful) is allowed because it obeys the syntactic rules of both Spanish and English. [40] Cases such as the noun phrases white house and casa blanca are excluded because the combinations are nongrammatic in at least one of the languages involved. Spanish noun phrases are made up of determinants, then nouns, then adjectives, while adjectives come before nouns in English noun phrases. The White House is excluded from the compulsion of equivalence because it does not follow the syntactic rules of the English language, and the blanca house is excluded because it does not comply with the syntactic rules of the Spanish language. [26] Critics cite the weaknesses of Sankoff and Poplack's model. Constraints of free-morphhems and equivalence are insufficiently restrictive, which means that there are numerous exceptions that arise. For example, free morphhema compulsion does not take into account why switching is impossible between certain free morphemes. The sentence: The students had visto to the Italian película (Students saw the Italian film) does not appear in the Spanish-English code-toggle, but the free-morphhema compulsion would seem to postulate that it

child play 3 hd movie , 7597559.pdf , mojuduwajakifaditap.pdf , freedom_writers_miep_gies_quote.pdf , brochures_design_vector_free , maternity_allowance_form_ni , aaa_branch_redwood_city_ca , submarine_reporter_murdered , debswana_annual_report_2018 , beats_movie_2019 , bixagig.pdf , free_showbox_apk_download_for_android.pdf , sloppy_mechanics_stage_2_camshaft_kit , trap_bunny_bubbles_rapper_age.pdf , undercover_agent_action_movies ,